

## Tutorial class 28/3

### 1 Series of real numbers

**Definition 1.1.** We say that  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i$  converge if  $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i$  is a convergent sequence.

**Proposition 1.1.** (Necessary condition)  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i$  is convergent only if  $x_n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

*Proof.* Denote  $s_n = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$ ,  $L = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i$ . Then  $x_n = s_n - s_{n-1} \rightarrow L - L = 0$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 1.2.** (Cauchy Criterion)  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i$  is convergent if and only if  $\forall \epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $m, n > N$ ,  $\sum_{i=n}^m x_i < \epsilon$ .

*Proof.* Directly from the result of convergent sequence.  $\square$

### 2 tests for convergence

Hence we have the following comparison test.

**Corollary 2.1.** If  $\{a_k\}$ ,  $\{b_k\}$  are two sequence of real number in which  $0 \leq a_k \leq b_k$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $\sum a_k$  converge if  $\sum b_k$  converge.

**Example 2.2.** The following series are convergent.

1.  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} ne^{-n^2}$
2.  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n}{n^{2+\epsilon}-n+1}$ , where  $\epsilon > 0$ .

*Proof.* Since  $xe^{-x/2} \rightarrow 0$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ , we know that  $xe^{-x/2}$  is bounded by some  $L > 0$  on  $[0, +\infty)$ . Thus

$$ne^{-n^2} \leq e^{-n^2/2} \cdot \frac{Ln}{n^2} \leq Le^{-n/2} \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Right hand side is clearly summable. Hence by comparison test, the series is convergent.

Noted that

$$\frac{n}{n^{2+\epsilon}-n+1} \leq \frac{n}{n^{2+\epsilon}-n} \leq \frac{n}{n^{2+\epsilon}-\frac{1}{2}n^{2+\epsilon}} = \frac{2}{n^{1+\epsilon}}.$$

The second inequality hold when  $n \geq N(\epsilon)$ . (say  $N > \log_2(2 + \epsilon)$ ) By comparison test,  $\sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \frac{n}{n^{2+\epsilon}-n+1}$  is convergent and hence the whole series converges.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.3.** (Montone convergence theorem) Suppose  $x_n \geq 0$ , then  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n$  converge if and only if the partial sum is bounded uniformly.

**Example 2.4.** Suppose  $x_n \geq 0$  and  $\sum x_n$  converge. Then the following series converge.

1.  $\sum x_n^{1+\epsilon}$ , where  $\epsilon > 0$ .

*Proof.* We have  $x_n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . So there exists  $N$  such that for all  $n > N$ ,  $0 \leq x_n \leq 1$ . Thus

$$0 \leq x_n^{1+\epsilon} \leq x_n \quad \forall n > N.$$

Thus, by comparison test or MCT, the result follows.  $\square$

2.  $\sum \frac{\sqrt{x_n}}{n}$

*Proof.* By Cauchy inequality,

$$\sum_{n=1}^N \frac{\sqrt{x_n}}{n} \leq \left( \sum_{n=1}^N x_n \right)^{1/2} \left( \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{n^2} \right)^{1/2} \leq L.$$

The upper bound is due to the convergence of  $\sum x_n$  and  $\sum 1/n^2$ . Thus the series is convergent.  $\square$

3. Suppose  $\sum a_k$  and  $\sum b_k$  are two series of positive numbers such that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_k}{b_k} = l > 0$ , then  $\sum a_k$  is summable if and only if  $\sum b_k$  is so.

*Proof.* There exists  $N$  such that for all  $n \geq N$ ,

$$\frac{l}{2} \cdot b_n \leq a_n \leq 2l \cdot b_n.$$

The conclusion follows from comparison test.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.5.** (Root Test) Suppose  $a_n$  is sequence of real number such that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |a_n|^{1/n} = L.$$

Then the series converges absolutely if  $L < 1$ , and diverge if  $L > 1$ .

*Proof.* If  $0 \leq L < 1$ , because of the assumption, there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  so that

$$\sup_{k \geq n} |a_k|^{1/k} \leq l = \frac{1+L}{2}, \quad \forall n \geq N,$$

Thus, for all  $n \geq N$ ,  $|a_n| \leq l^n$ . But the series  $b_n = l^n$  is clearly convergent. So  $\sum_{n=N}^{\infty} |a_n|$  converges and hence  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n|$ .

If  $L > 1$ , for  $l = \frac{1+L}{2}$ , there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\sup_{k \geq n} |a_k|^{1/k} > l > 1$  for all  $n > N$ . So for each  $n > N$ , there exists a subsequence  $a_{n_j}$  so that  $|a_{n_j}| \geq l^{n_j} \rightarrow +\infty$ . So the series cannot be convergent.  $\square$

**Example 2.6.**  $\sum \left(\frac{n}{2n+1}\right)^n$  is convergent.

*Proof.*  $|x_n|^{1/n} = \frac{n}{2n+1} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \in [0, 1)$ .  $\square$

The existence of improper integral is similar to the convergence of series. The relationship is illustrated below.

**Theorem 2.7.** Let  $f$  be positive decreasing function on  $[1, +\infty)$ . Then the series  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f(k)$  converges if and only if the improper integral  $\int_1^{\infty} f(t) dt$  exists.

*Proof.* Basically due to the fact that for all  $k \geq 2$ ,

$$f(k) \leq \int_{k-1}^k f(t) dt \leq f(k-1).$$

Therefore, for all  $n \geq m \geq 1$ ,

$$\sum_{k=m+1}^n f(k) \leq \int_m^n f(t) dt \leq \sum_{k=m}^{n-1} f(k).$$

If the integral exists, take  $m = 1$  to see that partial sum is bounded and hence convergent by monotone convergent theorem.

If the series is convergent,  $\forall \epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $m, n > N$ ,

$$0 < \sum_{k=m}^n f(k) < \epsilon.$$

Thus, for all  $x > y > N + 1$ ,

$$\int_y^x f(t) dt \leq \int_{[y]}^{[x]+1} f(t) dt \leq \sum_{k=[y]}^{[x]+1} f(k) < \epsilon.$$

So the integral exists by cauchy criterion. □

**Example 2.8.**

1.  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^p}$  converges if and only if  $p > 1$ .

*Proof.* If  $p \leq 0$ , the series is clearly divergent by the convergent criterion. By integral test, suffices to consider the function  $f(t) = \frac{1}{t^p}$  where  $p > 0$ . Now let us compute the integral.

$$\int_1^x \frac{1}{t^p} dt = \frac{x^{1-p} - 1}{1-p}.$$

So the integral exists if and only if  $p > 1$ . □

2. The series  $\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k(\log k)^\alpha}$  converge when  $\alpha > 1$ .

*Proof.* The improper integral  $\int_1^{\infty} f(t) dt$  where  $f(t) = \frac{1}{t \log t^p}$  exists if  $p > 1$ . □

3.  $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n \log n \log \log n}$  diverge.